

Planning Appeal Decisions between 09/09/2025 and 20/10/2025

Date of Decision	23/09/2025
Ward	Plympton St Mary
Application Number	25/00019/OUT
Decision	Appeal Dismissed
Address of Site	11 St Marys Road Plymouth PL7 4PT
Proposal	Outline application for the erection of 1no. self-build dwelling with all matters reserved for future submission
Appeal Process	Written Representations
Officers Name	Mr Macauley Potter
Synopsis of Appeals	Outline permission was refused for the erection of 1no. self-build dwelling with all matters reserved on the grounds of impact to the street scene character contrary to policies DEV10 and DEV20 of the Joint Local Plan. The inspector agreed that the proposed development would be harmful to the character and appearance of the area. The adverse impacts of the development would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when assessed against the Framework policies taken as a whole. The proposed development would not therefore benefit from the presumption in favour of sustainable development, found at paragraph 11 of the Framework. The appeal was therefore dismissed.

Planning Appeal Decisions between 09/09/2025 and 20/10/2025

Date of Decision	08/10/2025
Ward	Compton
Application Number	25/00541/FUL
Decision	Appeal Dismissed
Address of Site	34 Mutley Plain Plymouth PL4 6LD
Proposal	Change of use of retail unit (Class E) to restaurant/takeaway (Sui Generis), inc. installation of rear flue
Appeal Process	Written Representations
Officers Name	Mr Sam Lewis
Synopsis of Appeals	Planning permission was refused for the change of use of a commercial unit to a hot food takeaway. Officers considered that the scheme would have a negative impact on the local food environment, in breach of JLP policy DEV6, due to the site's proximity to two secondary schools. Following an appeal made by the applicant, the Inspector agreed with Officers, dismissing the appeal due to the impact that the scheme would have on the local food environment - noting the existing proliferation of takeaways in the area.No applications were made for costs by either side and no costs were awarded by the Inspector.